

SRI V. S. PATIL (Belgaum I).—On a point of order. Just now, when the Hon'ble Speaker was reading papers standing, I have seen some Ministers leaving their seats and moving here and there. I should like to know as to whether the Ministers are entitled to stand or walk out when the Speaker is on his legs?

MR. SPEAKER.—I have also noticed that such a thing has happened. I am taking up this matter with them separately. But, as the Hon'ble Member has just now said, no one, be he a Minister or a Member, is entitled to do like that.

Leave of Absence.

MR. SPEAKER.—I have received a letter from Sri Y. Ramakrishna requesting leave of absence from the House for three weeks as he has been deputed by the Government of Mysore to attend the Orientation Training Course at the Central Institute of Study and Research on Community Development.

Is it the pleasure of the Assembly that permission be granted to Sri Y. Ramakrishna for remaining absent from all meetings of the Assembly for three weeks during the current session?

HON'BLE MEMBERS.—Yes.

MR. SPEAKER.—Permission to remain absent is granted.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

NOTIFICATIONS.

SRI KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—Sir, on behalf of the Chief Minister, I beg to relay on the Table the following Notifications:—

Notification No. HD 168 MVT 57, dated 1st May 1958.

Notification No. HD 22(a) MVT 58, dated 2nd May 1958.

Notification No. HD 38 TST 57, dated 17th May 1957.

Notification No. HD 5 MVT 58, dated 2nd June 1958.

Notification No. HD 45 MVT 58 dated 31st May 1958.

Notification No. HD 42 MVT 58, dated 22nd May 1958.

Notification No. HD 36 MVT 58, dated 21st May 1958.

Notification No. HD 22 MVT 58, dated 2nd May 1958.

Notification No. HD 47 MVT 58, dated 11th July 1958.

Notification No. HD 7 MVF 58, dated 2nd July 1958.

Notification No. HD 90 MVT 58, dated 6th August 1958.

Notification No. HD 142 MVT 58, dated 31st July 1958.

Notification No. HD 165 MVT 57, dated 13th August 1958.

Notification No. HD 88 MVT 58, dated 21st August 1958.

Notification No. 27(2) MVT, dated 3rd April 1958.

Notification No. 126 MVT, dated 19th April 1958.

Notification No. 1 D 5 MVA 57, dated 31st October 1958.

Notification No. HD 200(2) MVA 57, dated 21st October 1958.

Notification No. 128(2) MVT 58, dated 28th October 1958 issued under sub-section (1) of section 16 and section 22 of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957.

Notification No. HD 1 CEE 58, dated 21st June 1958 issued under sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Mysore Cinemas Registration Act, 1952.

Notification No. HD 5 MVF 58, dated 14th October 1958 issued under clause (1) of sub-section (2) of section 68 read with section 63(1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939.

Notification No. RD 14 RGN 58, dated 19th March 1958.

Notification No. RD 1 SPS 58-1, dated 25th March 1958.

Notification No. RD 14 RGN, dated 1st April 1958.

Notification No. RD 14 RGN, dated 1st April 1958.

Notification No. RD 41 RGN 57, dated 19th December 1957.

Notification No. RD 1 SPS 58, dated 21st January 1958.

Notification No. RD 79 ERS 57, dated 14th March 1958.

SRI KADIDAL MANJAPPA)

Notification No. RD 6 SPS 58, dated 19th March 1958.

Notification No. RD 2 RGN 58, dated 29th April 1958; issued under section 9 of the Mysore Stamp Act, 1900.

Notification No. RD 6 SPS 58, dated 1st April 1958;

Notification No. RD 6 (A) SPS 58, dated 7th June 1958;

Notification No. RD 24 SPS 58, dated 23rd August 1958;

Notification No. RD 20 SPS 58, dated 19th September 1958 issued under Section 9 of the Mysore Stamp Act, 1957.

Notification No. RD 19 HRC 57, dated 28th April 1958; issued under clause (a) of Section 31 of the Hyderabad Houses (Rent, Eviction and Lease) Control Act, 1954.

Notification No. RD 19 HRC, dated 16th July 1958 issued under section 20 of the Mysore House Rent and Accommodation Control disturb Act, 1951.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM (Kolar Gold Fields).—I rise to a point of order.

MR. SPEAKER.—Points of orders are no doubt raised. But the member should be sure of the fact that it is a point of order. Otherwise, it will only disturb the proceedings of the House.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Certainly not. The Chair was pleased to say that Notifications as soon as they were issued should be placed before the House. But the Hon'ble Minister was laying on the Table of the House on behalf of the Chief Minister, notifications which were issued in July and August 1958.

MR. SPEAKER.—They have been re-layed. They have already been laid once.

2-30 P.M.

SRI G. VENKATAI GOWDA (Palaiyam)—Were they laid on the Table?

MR. SPEAKER.—Unless they were already laid on the table, there could be no re-laying. They have been laid on the Table of the House; they are being laid once again.

SRI G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—There must be some purpose for laying it again.

SRI KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—A notification has to be left on the Table of the House for thirty days. When we laid these notifications on the Table of the House on the previous occasion, there was not the interval of thirty days. Therefore, we have to re-lay them.

SRI T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance).—With your permission, I sit and re-lay on the Table the following notifications:

Notification No. Cin. 172-56, dated 14th September 1956,

Notification No. Cin. 175-56, dated 10th October 1956,

Notification No. Cin. 168-56, dated 17th October 1956,

Notification No. Cin. 182-56, dated 12th November 1955,

Notification No. Cin. 183-56, dated 14th November 1956,

Notification No. Cin. 208-56, dated 29th November 1956,

Notification No. Cin. 196-56, dated 10th December 1956,

Notification No. Cin. 212-56, dated 10th December 1956,

Notification No. Cin. 205-56, dated 11th December 1956,

Notification No. Cin. 237-56, dated 17th December 1956,

Notification No. Cin. 216-56, dated 22nd December 1956,

Notification No. Cin. 235-56, dated 7th January 1957,

Notification No. Cin. 236-56, dated 7th January 1957,

Notification No. Cin. 221-56, dated 11th January 1957,

Notification No. Cin. 222-56, dated 11th January 1957,

Notification No. Cin. 243-56, dated 15th January 1957,

Notification No. Cin. 16-57, dated 31st January 1957,

Notification No. Cin. 244-56, dated 11th February 1957,

Notification No. Cin. 1-57, dated 18th February 1957,

Notification No. 8-57, dated 18th March 1957,

Notification No. Cin. 26-57, dated 30th March 1957,

Notification No. Cin. 51-57, dated 22nd April 1957,

Notification No. Cin. 214-56, dated 29th May 1957,

Notification No. Cin. 24-2-Law-57, dated 14th September 1957,

issued under Section 5 of the Mysore Cinematograph Shows Tax Act, 1951.

Notification No. RD 13 AIX/58 dated 5th June 1958 issued under Section 63 of the Mysore Agricultural Income-tax Act, 1957.

Notification No. RD 3-BTX 58, dated dated 9th October 1958 issued under section 8-A of the Mysore Betting Tax Act 1932.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Gubbi).—I rise to a point of order. This is the third time or fourth time I am raising a point of order about this matter. What is the special privilege that the Minister for Finance has when he presents the Budget, when he introduces a legislation and all that he does so sitting. Suppose I am not well. can I be permitted to sit and talk?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—If the Minister is so weak that he cannot stand and speak, he could entrust the duty to others. But he is permitted at the cost of the exchequer. It is insulting the House. I cannot understand. Therefore, I would like the Chair to give such a ruling that would satisfy all of us. There can be no plea that because he is not well, he is permitted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is no point of order involved. I cannot give a ruling which will satisfy all sections of the House. I must plead my inability. The rule says that a person who is not in a position to stand and make a speech or who is not keeping well or who is an invalid can speak sitting.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I always do it with your leave.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall make it once again clear that such concession given to the Finance Minister will also be given to others. If Sri. C. J. Muckannappa were to have a heart attack, I shall certainly permit him to sit and speak.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA (Channapatna).—You referred to the invalid. As per the Constitution of India, can an invalid sit in the House or become a member of the House?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The rule is clear. Rule 287: “A member desiring to make any observations on any matter before the Assembly shall speak from his place, shall rise when he speaks and shall address the Speaker: Provided that a member disabled by sickness or infirmity may be permitted to speak sitting.”

Sri M. MARIAPPA (Minister for Co-operation).—I beg to re-lay on the Table the State Warehousing Corporation (Mysore) Rules, 1958 issued under Section 52 (c) of the Agricultural Produce (Development and Warehousing) Corporations Act, 1956.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I beg to re-lay on the Table the amendments to the Madras Cultivating Tenants Protection Rules, 1955 issued under sub-section (1) of section 7 of the Madras Cultivating Tanants Protection Act, 1955.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—On behalf of Sri T. Subramanya, Minister for Law Labour and Local-Self Government, I re-lay on the Table the Mysore Ministers Medical Attendance Rules, 1958 issued under Section 15 of the Mysore Ministers Salaries and Allowances Act, 1956.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY (Bangalore South).—On this occasion I have one other matter in respect of one of the notifications to be referred to. Under article 309 of the Constitution of India, any notification issued regarding the recruitment policy of Government should be laid on the Table of the House within 14 days from the date of the publication. So far as those notifications are concerned, they have not been laid so far. I want to know whether they have an intention to lay those notifications on the Table of the House at all.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The notification is not before the House. If there is any such notification, it will be placed at the appropriate time.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.— My point is that they have not been laid on the Table of House so far.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—We will look into the matter.

FINANCIAL REPORTS

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I lay on the Table in pursuance of clause (2) of article 151 of the Constitution of India read with section 72 of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956 and Government of India, Ministry of Finance Notification No. SRO 3 SO, dated 28th December 1956 :—

1. Appropriation Accounts 1954-55 and the Audit Report 1956.
2. Appropriation Accounts 1955-56 and the Audit Report 1957.
3. Appropriation Accounts 1956-57 (1st April 1956 to 31st October 1956) and the Audit Report relating to the former State of Hyderabad.
4. Appropriation Accounts 1955-56 and the Audit Report 1957.
5. Finance Accounts 1955-56 and the Audit Report 1957.
6. Finance Accounts 1956-57 (1st April 1956 to 31st October 1956) and the Audit Report.
7. Appropriation Accounts 1956-57 (1st April 1956 to 31st October 1956) and the Audit Report relating to the former State of Bombay.

These Reports relate to the former State of Hyderabad and Bombay. Arrangements have already been made to place them in the respective Legislatures also.

MOTION ON GOVERNOR'S ADDRESS

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—May I know about the number of days allotted for the discussion because we have lost a day as per the original programme.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let the mover make the motion. According to the time table we have given four days.

2-30 P.M.

Smt. LAKSHMIDEVI RAMANNA (Chamarajpet).—Sir, I move the following motion :

“We, the Members of the Mysore Legislative Assembly, assembled in this Session, beg leave to thank the Governor for the address delivered to the Members of the Legislature on 28th February 1959.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“We, the Members of the Mysore Legislative Assembly, assembled in this Session, beg leave to thank the Governor for the address delivered to the Members of the Legislature on 28th February 1959.”

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI (Sampagaon I).—I second the motion moved by the Hon'ble Member.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Amendments may be moved.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA (Channapatna).—Sir, I move :

“That the following words shall be added at the end of the Address: ‘but regret that—

1. the Address is silent about the activities of the Home Guards in the State;
2. no mention has been made regarding the functioning of democracy in the State;
3. the Address is silent regarding the future policy of Government in respect of prohibition nor has it taken note that in the areas where the prohibition is in force it has been a thorough failure;
4. the Address does not give a clear indication of the policy of Government regarding development of silk industries;
5. the Address is not clear about the welfare of Scheduled Castes;
6. the Address is not clear about the policy of Government towards land reforms in the State;
7. the Address has failed to make a mention about the tour programme of the Ministers;